UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/537,215	03/09/2006	Robert Lewis	072819.0177	4676	
5073 BAKER BOTT	7590 02/22/201 ¹ S L.L.P.	0	EXAMINER		
2001 ROSS AV	ENUE	COZART, JERMIE E			
SUITE 600 DALLAS, TX 75201-2980			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3726		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			02/22/2010	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ptomail1@bakerbotts.com glenda.orrantia@bakerbotts.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Occurrence	10/537,215	LEWIS ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Jermie E. Cozart	3726			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appo Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-40</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	m nom consideration.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,34-36 and 38-40</u> is/are rejected.					
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6-33 and 37</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
	·				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>03 June 2005</u> is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☑ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the d			0471)		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
The oath of declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action of form PTO-15.	۷.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:		-(d) or (f).			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents		an Na			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori	•	d in this National Stage	9		
application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of		d			
See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	or the certified copies not receive	u.			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>6/3/05; 4/5/06</u> .	6) Other:				

Art Unit: 3726

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: load cell 101, 100. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

2. The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout for the specification of a utility application. These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use.

Arrangement of the Specification

As provided in 37 CFR 1.77(b), the specification of a utility application should include the following sections in order. Each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without underlining or bold type, as a section heading. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:

- (a) TITLE OF THE INVENTION.
- (b) CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS.
- (c) STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT.
- (d) THE NAMES OF THE PARTIES TO A JOINT RESEARCH AGREEMENT.

Art Unit: 3726

(e) INCORPORATION-BY-REFERENCE OF MATERIAL SUBMITTED ON A COMPACT DISC.

- (f) BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION.
 - (1) Field of the Invention.
 - (2) Description of Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
- (g) BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION.
- (h) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWING(S).
- (i) DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION.
- (j) CLAIM OR CLAIMS (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (k) ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (I) SEQUENCE LISTING (See MPEP § 2424 and 37 CFR 1.821-1.825. A "Sequence Listing" is required on paper if the application discloses a nucleotide or amino acid sequence as defined in 37 CFR 1.821(a) and if the required "Sequence Listing" is not submitted as an electronic document on compact disc).
- 3. The abstract of the disclosure does not commence on a separate sheet in accordance with 37 CFR 1.52(b)(4). A new abstract of the disclosure is required and must be presented on a separate sheet, apart from any other text.

Claim Objections

4. Claims 6-33 and 37 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim cannot depend from another multiple dependent claim. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims have not been further treated on the merits.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 6. Claims 34-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Art Unit: 3726

7. Claim 34 recites the limitation "the tools" in line 2 of the claim. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 9. Claims 1-5, 34-36, 38, and 40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Roberts et al. (WO 99/61205).

Regarding <u>claim 1</u>, Roberts discloses a tool support (14), adapted in use to define an end-effector of an automated riveting machine, comprising at least two tool carrier arms (80, 82, 84), one of which is adapted to support a riveting tool (52), in which the tool carrier arms are movable relative to a fixed datum (i.e. hole in plate 50) defined by the tool support.

Regarding <u>claim 2</u>, Roberts discloses the fixed datum being configured as a guide hole (not labeled, Fig. 2).

Regarding <u>claim 3</u>, Roberts discloses one or more support members (50, 202) hold opposing ends of the tool support in a fixed spaced relationship.

Regarding <u>claim 4</u>, Roberts discloses opposing ends of the tool support are configured as end plates (50, 202).

Regarding <u>claim 5</u>, Roberts discloses the guide hole being located in the end plate (202) which is positioned closest to a work-piece when in use.

Art Unit: 3726

Regarding <u>claim 34</u>, Roberts disclose an automated riveting machine comprising a first end-effector (14) and a second end-effector (20), the first end-effector carrying the tools (52, 54, 56), the second end-effector being positioned on the opposite side of a work-piece to, and in-line with, the first end-effector.

Regarding <u>claim 35</u>, Roberts discloses the second end-effector comprises a clamping foot (20) for clamping the work-piece.

Regarding <u>claim 36</u>, Roberts discloses the second end-effector comprising a moveable reactor (see Fig. 15) for upsetting a rivet stem.

Regarding <u>claim 38</u>, Roberts discloses achieving a rivet connection in a work-piece comprising locating a first end-effector, with at least two tools one of which is a riveting tool, at a work-piece and positioning a second end-effector at the opposite side of the work-piece in-line with the first end-effector; sequentially moving each tool, in the first end-effector, arcuately from a resting position to an operating position above a guide hole in a lower end plate of the first end-effector, and operating each tool in turn through the guide hole to perform their desired operation. See Fig. 15 which is representative of the assembly process between the first end effector (14) and the second effector (20).

Regarding <u>claim 40</u>, Roberts discloses the tool being configured as a drill tool (56).

See figs. 1-6 and 15 for further clarification. See pages 4, 8-10, and 19 for further clarification as well.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 3726

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

11. Claim 39 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Roberts et al. (WO 99/61205).

Roberts as described in detail above discloses a variety of different tools, however, Roberts does not disclose the tool being a sealant tool.

Sealant tools are conventional and well known, and are known to be used in riveting operations.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to provide one of the tools of Roberts as a sealant tool, in order to aid in the attachment of a rivet during connection to the work-piece thereby creating a stronger attachment between the work-piece and rivet.

Conclusion

- 12. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The references listed on the attached PTO-892 are cited to show riveting tools.
- 13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jermie E. Cozart whose telephone number is 571-272-4528. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 7:30 am 6:00 pm.

Art Unit: 3726

14. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Bryant can be reached on 571-272-4526. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

15. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Jermie E Cozart/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3726